

01-03-00

A

Atty. Dkt. No. 15-IS-5297(70191/240)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

12/31/99  
JC713 U.S. PTO

12/31/99  
JC503 U.S. PTO

Applicant: Kurzynski et al.  
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR  
MANAGING MEMORY IN A  
WORKSTATION  
Appl. No.: Unknown  
Filing Date: Unknown  
Examiner: Unknown  
Art Unit: Unknown

CERTIFICATE OF EXPRESS MAILING  
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service's "Express Mail Post Office To Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 on the date indicated below and is addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

EL529676445US	12-31-99
(Express Mail Label Number) (Date of Deposit)	
<i>SANDRA MURPHY</i> (Printed Name)	
<i>Sandra Murphy</i> (Signature)	

**UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION  
TRANSMITTAL**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Box PATENT APPLICATION  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b) is the nonprovisional utility patent application of:

David W. Kurzynski  
Frank J. Owen  
Bradley E. Koehn

Enclosed are:

- Specification, Claim(s), and Abstract (16 pages).
- Informal drawings (2 sheets, Figures 1-2).
- Declaration and Power of Attorney (\_\_\_\_ pages).
- Assignment of the invention to General Electric Company.
- Assignment Recordation Cover Sheet.
- Check in the amount of \$40.00 for Assignment recordation.
- Small Entity statement.
- Information Disclosure Statement.

Form PTO-1449 with copies of        listed reference(s).

The filing fee is calculated below:

Claims as Filed	Included in Basic Fee	Extra Claims	Rate	Fee Totals
Basic Fee			\$690.00	\$690.00
Total Claims: 36	- 20	= 16	x \$18.00	= \$288.00
Independents: 3	- 3	= 0	x \$78.00	= \$0.00
If any Multiple Dependent Claim(s) present:			+ \$260.00	= \$0.00
			SUBTOTAL:	= \$978.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Small Entity Fees Apply (subtract ½ of above):				= \$0.00
			TOTAL FILING FEE:	= \$978.00

A check in the amount of -0- to cover the filing fee is enclosed.

The required filing fees are not enclosed but will be submitted in response to the Notice to File Missing Parts of Application.

The Assistant Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 07-0845. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Assistant Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 07-0845.

Please direct all correspondence to the undersigned attorney or agent at the address indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date 12/31/1999

By Katherine D. Lee

FOLEY & LARDNER  
Firststar Center  
777 East Wisconsin Avenue  
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-5367  
Telephone: (414) 297-5531  
Facsimile: (414) 297-4900

Katherine D. Lee  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 44,865

**U.S. PATENT APPLICATION**

**for**

**METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR  
MANAGING MEMORY IN A WORKSTATION**

Inventors: David W. Kurzynski  
1210 Georgetown Way  
Vernon Hills, IL 60061  
Citizen of U.S.A.

Frank J. Owen  
174 Chandler Ave.  
Elmhurst, IL 60126  
Citizen of U.S.A.

Bradley E. Koehn  
270 E. Highland Ave. Apt. 245  
Milwaukee, WI 53202  
Citizen of U.S.A.

## METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MANAGING MEMORY IN A WORKSTATION

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to memory management. More particularly, the present invention relates to workstations in an image handling system equipped with memory management schemes.

Presently in a hospital, medical imaging devices are preferably networked with a central image management system such as a picture archival and communications system (PACS). Medical imaging devices utilize, among others, electromagnetic radiation, x-rays, sonic waves, and photonic energy to produce images of a subject of interest, such as a patient, to aid in diagnosis and treatment of illnesses. For example, ultrasound devices are useful for viewing fetuses during prenatal care in a pregnancy. Magnetic resonance (MR) imaging systems can produce images of a wide range of tissues.

The central image management system includes a central storage unit coupled to a plurality of image workstations or terminals, such as PACS workstations. The central storage unit is configured to archive images produced by the plurality of medical imaging devices, and to retrieve images for display on one or more image workstations. Thus, the hospital can provide a plurality of medical imaging devices located through the hospital, and images produced from any of these medical imaging devices can then be retrieved and viewed by any of the plurality of image workstations.

Images are archived in the central management system in sets relating to examinations, in which each examination includes a set of images acquired at a particular time relating to a particular problem (or ailment) of a particular patient. When the physician or user on the image workstation accesses images, examinations including these images (i.e., the image data) need to be downloaded from the central storage unit to that image workstation before such images can be displayed, and thus

viewed, on that image workstation. During a session on the image workstation, a plurality of examinations are typically viewed by the physician. Moreover, the physician may desire to sequentially view images from different examinations in a relatively short order (i.e., moving back and forth among different examinations).

5                         Unfortunately, conventional image workstations are ill suited to effectively handle such examination viewing behavior or preferences. For example, a conventional image workstation only permits a few examinations to be readily accessible to the physician at any given time because the memory capacity of the workstation is such that only up to a few examinations can be stored on it. If the 10 memory is full, the workstation may not permit any new examination to be accessed, or one or more of the downloaded or stored examinations would have to be unloaded (and thus no longer readily accessible to the physician) before any new examination can be accessed (i.e., downloaded from the central storage unit to the memory and displayed on the workstation). Thus, the physician is either limited to 15 viewing only images from the few examinations already downloaded into the memory of the workstation, or waiting as each examination (not currently in the memory) requested by the physician is downloaded and displayed on the workstation. Otherwise the memory size requirement of the workstation would become extremely large and too costly.

20                         Thus, there is a need for a workstation that permits a large number of examinations to be readily accessible to a user at any given time. There is a further need for a workstation that includes a memory management scheme to optimize the ready accessibility of a large number of relevant examinations to a user.

#### BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

25                         One embodiment of the invention relates to a method for managing a memory in a workstation when a size of user selected files exceeds the memory capacity in the workstation. The method includes prioritizing the user selected files using a prioritization scheme. The method further includes unloading from the memory of the workstation a unload file having a lower priority than at least one of

the user selected files stored in memory. The unload file includes at least a portion of at least one of the user selected files.

5 Another embodiment of the invention relates to a system for managing a memory in a workstation when a size of user selected files exceeds the memory capacity in the workstation. The system includes means for prioritizing the user selected files using a prioritization scheme. The system further includes means for unloading a unload file having a lower priority than at least one of the user selected files stored in memory. The unload file includes at least a portion of at least one of the user selected files and the means for prioritizing is coupled to the means for unloading.

10

15 Still another embodiment of the invention relates to a system for managing a memory in a workstation when a size of user selected files exceeds the memory capacity in the workstation. The system includes a processor configured to prioritize the user selected files using a prioritization scheme. The system further includes the memory configured to unload a unload file having a lower priority than at least one of the user selected files stored in memory. The unload file includes at least a portion of at least one of the user selected files. And the processor is coupled to the memory.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

20 The preferred embodiment will become more fully understood from the following detailed description, taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein like reference numerals denote like elements, in which:

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of an image handling system which employs an embodiment of the present invention; and

25 FIG. 2 is a flow chart of a memory management scheme included in an image workstation which comprises a part of the image handling system of FIG. 1.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Referring to FIG. 1, there is shown the major components of an image handling system 10. Image handling system 10 includes imaging devices 12, a communications network 14, and an image management system 16. Each of the imaging devices 12 is coupled to the communications network 14 and the communications network 14 is coupled to the image management system 16.

10 Imaging devices 12 include, but are not limited to, magnetic resonance (MR) imaging devices, computerized tomography (CT) devices, ultrasound devices, nuclear imaging devices, x-ray devices, and a variety of other types of imaging devices. It should be understood that imaging devices 12 are not limited to medical imaging devices and can also include scanners and imaging devices from other fields. Imaging devices 12 are located throughout a facility, such as a hospital.

15 Image management system 16 includes an image manager 18 and a plurality of image workstations 20. Preferably, image management system 16 is a picture archival and communication system (PACS), image manager 18 is a PACS server, and the plurality of image workstations 20 are PACS workstations or terminals. It should be understood, however, that image management system 16 can be any image archival, management, and retrieval apparatus.

20 Image manager 18 includes an information storage unit for short-term storage and retrieval, and an archival storage unit (e.g., an optical disc storage and optical disc reader system) for long-term storage and retrieval (not shown). Each of the plurality of workstations 20 is a computer system or terminal, including a memory 19, a processor 22, a display 24, and an input device 26. Input device 26 can include, but is not limited to, a mouse, a joystick, a keyboard, a trackball, a 25 touch screen, a light wand, and a voice control. Image manager 18 is coupled to each of imaging devices 12 and the plurality of workstations 20, via communications network 14 (e.g., an ethernet, fiber optic, or other applicable communications network communication). The plurality of workstations 20 can be located

throughout the hospital and need not be proximate imaging devices 12 or image manager 18.

5 During an examination of a subject of interest, such as a portion of a patient's anatomy, one or more imaging devices 12 are used to acquire images of the subject of interest. Each acquired image is in a digitized data format, preferably in a DICOM or other suitable format, and is communicated from imaging devices 12 to image manager 18 via communications network 14. Image manager 18 archives the image data representative of each acquired image, also referred to as an image file, with appropriate identifiers and links such that the image file can be selectively 10 retrieved. Once image files have been archived in image manager 18, image files and other relevant information, such as the patient's medical history, can be selectively retrieved and accessed on any one of the plurality of workstations 20 or other information retrieval devices coupled to communications network 14.

15 Preferably each set of images are acquired of a particular patient having a particular problem (or ailment) at a particular time. The image set, or more preferably the image data or files corresponding to the image set, are archived in image manager 18 with appropriate links to that patient's information (e.g., medical history and administrative information). In this manner, each set of images relate to an examination of a patient. For example, a patient with a broken arm may 20 have a first set of images taken of his arm when the break initially occurs, a second set of images taken of the same arm a month later, and a third set of images taken after the cast has been removed. Then the first, second, and third set of images would comprise, respectively, a first, second, and third examination of a particular problem (i.e., broken arm bone for that patient).

25 On average, each examination will include about 50 megabytes (MB) of image data. However, the number and size of images comprising an examination can vary widely depending on, among others, the type of imaging device used to acquire the images, the nature of the patient's problem, and the patient's physiology. For example, a x-ray examination could contain four to five images,

each x-ray image being approximately 10 MB. In contrast, a MR exam may include 250 images at about 0.25 MB per image.

In one embodiment, a user, such as a physician or radiologist, manipulates information (from image manager 18) in any one of the plurality of image workstations 20 via a graphical user interface (GUI) and input device 26 included in each workstation 20. The GUI includes a work list selector window (not shown) from which the user selects one or more examinations (also referred to as exams) that he desires to view. The image data corresponding to these exams are retrieved from image manager 18 and downloaded to memory 19 of that particular workstation 20. Any of the images corresponding to these exams can then be displayed on display 24 in real-time or quasi-real-time. Because these exams, and hence the images contained therein, are readily accessible to the user, the user can rapidly switch back and forth among different exams or images. Moreover, the user can manipulate images to enhance their diagnostic value (i.e., change original image viewing settings) such as changing the contrast of an image, rotating an image, etc.

A memory management scheme 50 included in processor 22 of each workstation 20 permits a large number of exams to be open or perceived to be open (i.e., readily accessible) at any given time. The open exams can be any combination of exams such as a plurality of exams of a single patient or exams of different patients. Workstation 20 is configured such that when the user opens an exam, one or more images corresponding to that exam (or other exam information such as a start page or an exam content page) will be displayed on display 24. If there are more than one open exam or the user has specified a plurality of images to be open, then each of these pages or windows relating to an exam or image will be displayed on display 24 in a sheet mode (i.e., in a tile-like formation across display 24) or in a stack mode (i.e., stacked one behind another like a stack of cards across display 24). At any given time, this multitude of opened exams and/or images will have a certain viewing sequence (also referred to as a viewing stack or order) based on the order in which the user opened and/or manipulated these exams and/or images.

Referring to FIG. 2, there is shown a memory management scheme 50 included in each workstation 20. Memory management scheme 50 includes a start step 52, an initiate opening of  $i$ th exam step 54, a workstation memory capacity inquiry step 56, a download step 58, a display exam step 60, an incrementor step 62, a lowest exam priority inquiry step 64, a save settings step 66, a unload exam step 68, a related exam priority inquiry step 70, a viewing stack priority inquiry step 72, a current exam priority inquiry step 74, and an end step 76. Scheme 50 is configured such that a large number of exams can be opened at any given time in workstation 20 without having to increase the size of memory 19 of workstation 20.

10 Scheme 50 utilizes known user exam viewing patterns, the current user's viewing behavior, and a prioritization of the open exams to optimize the storage capacity of memory 19 and the number of exams that seem readily accessible from the user's perspective.

Start step 52 and initiate opening step 54 are carried out when at least one exam is already open and another exam is desirous of being opened (i.e., an  $i$ th exam to be opened, where  $i > 1$ ). Before the  $i$ th exam can be opened, the image data corresponding thereto need to be downloaded to memory 19. Hence, step 56 inquires as to the available amount of space in memory 19. If there is sufficient space in memory 19 to fully download the image data corresponding to the  $i$ th exam, then step 56 directs the download to be carried out via the download step 58. After the image data (and possibly also the patient information) corresponding to the  $i$ th exam have been downloaded from image manager 18 in step 58, any image within the  $i$ th exam can be displayed on display 24 in step 60. Incrementor step 62 readies workstation 20 to accept the opening of a next exam (i.e.,  $i = i + 1$ ).

25 Otherwise, if there is not enough space in memory 19 to download the image data corresponding to the  $i$ th exam, then all the open exams (i.e., all  $J$ th exams, where  $J = 1$  to  $(i-1)$ ) are prioritized to determine which open exam should be unloaded from memory 19 to free up space.

Scheme 50 provides a prioritization scheme comprising four priority levels: (1) a current exam priority, (2) a viewing stack priority, (3) a related exam priority, and (4) a lowest exam priority. The current exam priority is the top priority level and is only given to a current exam (also referred to as a currently viewed exam) being viewed by the user. Since the current exam would have the most relevance to the user at that moment in time, it is the open (i.e.,  $J$ th) exam least likely to be unloaded to make way for the  $i$ th exam. The viewing stack priority is the priority level below the current exam priority. This priority is given to all open exams, except the current exam, currently being displayed on display 24 in the viewing stack. Exams included in the viewing stack are likely to be viewed as the user makes his way through the stack. Thus, these exams are the second least likely to be unloaded from memory 19.

The related exam priority is the priority level below the viewing stack priority. This priority is given to all open exams that are related to the current exam. One patient's exams for a particular problem or the same portion of his anatomy comprise a set of exams, and when one of these exams is the current exam, then the remaining exams in this set of exams are referred to as related exams to the current exam. For example, continuing the example above of the broken arm, the first, second, and third exams of the broken arm can be categorized as related exams to each other. Lastly, the lowest exam priority is the lowest priority level; exams in this priority level are the first to be unloaded from memory 19. Any open exam that is not the current exam, is not part of the viewing stack, and is not a related exam is given the lowest exam priority.

This prioritization scheme is shown in steps 64-74. Step 64 identifies any  $J$ th exam (where  $(i-1)$  is the total number of open exams and  $J=1$  to  $(i-1)$ ) belonging to the lowest exam priority level. If any  $J$ th exam can be given the lowest exam priority, then that  $J$ th exam will be unloaded from memory 19. However, before the unloading step 68, the display or viewing settings of the  $J$ th exam to be unloaded will be saved in workstation 20 in the save step 66. Save step 66 permits

this unloaded exam to be redisplayed as it was last viewed by the user, when it is reloaded onto memory 19 at a later point in time. Thus, although this  $J$ th exam will have to be reloaded onto memory 19 for the user to view it again, save step 66 makes it appear to the user as if this  $J$ th exam was never unloaded from memory 19.

5 In other words, the user will perceive this  $J$ th exam as being continually “open.”

After the unload step 68, scheme 50 checks again for sufficiency of space in memory 19 by returning to step 56. If unloading one  $J$ th exam has freed up enough space to download the  $i$ th exam, then downloading can proceed with the downloading branch of scheme 50 (i.e., steps 58-62). Otherwise, if there still isn’t enough space available in memory 19, then step 56 directs the prioritization scheme to continue with steps 64-74 to unload more exams.

10 15 If none of the open exams can be categorized within the lowest exam priority level, then the related exam priority inquiry step 70 is carried out. If none of the open exams is a related exam, then the viewing stack priority inquiry step 72 is carried out. Lastly, if none of the open exams is an exam on the viewing stack, then the current exam priority inquiry step 74 is carried out. Similar to the discussion above for step 64, if any  $J$ th exam satisfied the condition specified in any of steps 70, 72, 74, then the save step 66 and the unload step 68 follows.

20 In the current exam priority step 74, arriving at the “no” branch indicates that none of the open exams fall within any of the four priority levels. In this situation, step 74 directs the prioritization to end with end step 76. It is likely that some sort of error has occurred and workstation 20 may display an error message or request the user to reinitiate opening of the  $i$ th exam.

25 Alternatively, it is contemplated that different prioritization schemes than discussed above may be implemented. For example, the viewing stack priority step 72 may be omitted. It is also contemplated that instead of unloading the first open exam in the inquiry that is in the lowest possible priority level, memory management scheme 50 may also inquire as to the sizes of the image data

corresponding to the open exams. Then, for example, if two exams are of the same priority level and either one is suitable to unload, scheme 50 may unload the exam taking up greater or equal memory space in comparison to the  $i$ th exam to be downloaded.

5                   In this manner, memory management scheme 50 permits the user to open a very large number of exams during a session on workstation 20. Memory management scheme 50 also permits actually closed exams (i.e., exams unloaded from memory 19) to be perceived by the user as still being open by saving the last display or viewing settings for each to-be-unloaded exams and by utilizing the prioritization scheme to optimize unloading the least relevant exam(s) possible.

10                   While the embodiments and application of the invention illustrated in the figures and described above are presently preferred, it should be understood that these embodiments are offered by way of example only. For example, it is contemplated that the invention may be applied to systems other than imaging systems which can benefit from optimization of memory usage. In another example, memory management scheme 50 may selectively unload only a portion of the image data corresponding to an exam instead of unloading the entire exam. In still another example, the last display or viewing settings of an exam may be saved in image manager 18 and be linked to a user identifier (i.e., a login identifier) such that the exam may be opened on any of the workstations 20 in a subsequent session and be displayed as it was last displayed by that user. Accordingly, the present invention is not limited to a particular embodiment, but extends to various modifications that nevertheless falls within the scope of the appended claims.

## WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method for managing a memory in a workstation when a size of user selected files exceeds the memory capacity in the workstation, comprising:  
prioritizing the user selected files using a prioritization scheme; and  
unloading from the memory of the workstation a unload file having a lower priority than at least one of the user selected files stored in memory, wherein the unload file includes at least a portion of at least one of the user selected files.

5

2. The method of claim 1, further comprising saving settings relating to the unload file in the workstation before the unloading step.

3. The method of claim 2, wherein the saving step includes saving changes made by a user to the unload file including at least one of display settings, user viewing settings, and other changes to the original form of the unload file.

10

4. The method of claim 2, further comprising reloading the unload file onto the workstation from a server, and presenting the unload file in an identical form as last presented to the user before the unloading step by utilizing the settings from the saving step, thereby the user perceiving the unload file to have been virtually open throughout.

15

5. The method of claim 1, wherein the user selected files include at least one open file stored in the memory and a new file to be stored in the memory.

6. The method of claim 5, further comprising loading the new file from a remote unit to the workstation, and presenting the new file onto the workstation, wherein the loading and the presenting steps occur after the unloading step.

20

7. The method of claim 5, further comprising repeating the prioritizing and the unloading steps a plurality of times as desired to open each successive new file on the workstation.

8. The method of claim 5, wherein the prioritization scheme designates a higher priority to the new file than to the at least one open file.

9. The method of claim 1, wherein the prioritization scheme designates a higher priority to one of the user selected files that is a currently being used file than to each of the user selected files that comprise a part of a using stack.

5 10. The method of claim 1, wherein the prioritization scheme designates a higher priority to the user selected files that comprise a part of a using stack than to each of the user selected files that is a related file.

11. The method of claim 1, wherein the prioritization scheme designates a higher priority to each of the user selected files that is a related file than to each of the user selected files that is not a currently being used file, does not comprise a part of a using stack, and is not a related file.

12. The method of claim 1, wherein each of the user selected files comprises image data representative of a plurality of images acquired from an imaging device.

13. A system for managing a memory in a workstation when a size of user selected files exceeds the memory capacity in the workstation, comprising:  
means for prioritizing the user selected files using a prioritization scheme; and

20 means for unloading a unload file having a lower priority than at least one of the user selected files stored in memory, wherein the unload file includes at least a portion of at least one of the user selected files and wherein the means for prioritizing is coupled to the means for unloading.

25 14. The system of claim 13, further comprising means for saving settings relating to the unload file before unloading the unload file, wherein the means for saving is coupled to the means for prioritizing.

15. The system of claim 14, wherein the means for saving is configured to save changes made by a user to the unload file including at least one of display settings, user viewing settings, and other changes to the original form of the unload file.

5 16. The system of claim 14, further comprising means for reloading the unload file onto the workstation from a server, and means for presenting the unload file in an identical form as last presented to a user before unloading of the unload file by utilizing the settings from the means for saving, thereby the user perceiving the unload file to have been virtually open throughout.

10 17. The system of claim 13, wherein the user selected files include at least one open file stored in the memory and a new file to be stored in the memory.

15 18. The system of claim 17, further comprising means for loading the new file from a remote unit to the workstation, and means for presenting the new file onto the workstation, wherein the loading and the presenting of the new file occurs after the unloading of the unload file.

19. The system of claim 17, wherein the means for prioritizing and the means for unloading are configured to prioritize and unload, respectively, a plurality of times as desired to open each successive new file on the workstation.

20 20. The system of claim 17, wherein the prioritization scheme designates a higher priority to the new file than to the at least one open file.

21. The system of claim 13, wherein the prioritization scheme designates a higher priority to one of the user selected files that is a currently being used file than to each of the user selected files that comprise a part of a using stack.

25 22. The system of claim 13, wherein the prioritization scheme designates a higher priority to the user selected files that comprise a part of a using stack than to each of the user selected files that is a related file.

23. The system of claim 13, wherein the prioritization scheme designates a higher priority to each of the user selected files that is a related file than to each of the user selected files that is not a currently being used file, does not comprise a part of a using stack, and is not a related file.

5 24. The system of claim 13, wherein each of the user selected files comprises image data representative of a plurality of images acquired from an imaging device.

10 25. A system for managing a memory in a workstation when a size of user selected files exceeds the memory capacity in the workstation, comprising:

15 a processor configured to prioritize the user selected files using a prioritization scheme; and

the memory configured to unload a unload file having a lower priority than at least one of the user selected files stored in memory, wherein the unload file includes at least a portion of at least one of the user selected files and wherein the processor is coupled to the memory.

26. The system of claim 25, wherein the memory is configured to save settings relating to the unload file before unloading the unload file.

20 27. The system of claim 26, wherein the settings include at least one of display settings, user viewing settings, and other changes to the original form of the unload file.

25 28. The system of claim 26, wherein the memory is configured to reload the unload file from a server, and further comprising a display coupled to the processor and configured to present the unload file in an identical form as last presented to a user before the unload file was unloaded by utilizing the settings, thereby the user perceives the unload file to have been virtually open throughout.

29. The system of claim 25, wherein the user selected files include at least one open file stored in the memory and a new file to be stored in the memory.

30. The system of claim 29, wherein the memory is configured to load the new file from a remote unit, and further comprising a display coupled to the processor and configured to display the new file.

5 31. The system of claim 29, wherein the processor and the memory are configured to prioritize and unload, respectively, a plurality of times as desired to open each successive new file on the workstation.

32. The system of claim 29, wherein the prioritization scheme designates a higher priority to the new file than to the at least one open file.

10 33. The system of claim 25, wherein the prioritization scheme designates a higher priority to one of the user selected files that is a currently being used file than to each of the user selected files that comprise a part of a using stack.

34. The system of claim 25, wherein the prioritization scheme designates a higher priority to the user selected files that comprise a part of a using stack than to each of the user selected files that is a related file.

15 35. The system of claim 25, wherein the prioritization scheme designates a higher priority to each of the user selected files that is a related file than to each of the user selected files that is not a currently being used file, does not comprise a part of a using stack, and is not a related file.

20 36. The system of claim 25, wherein each of the user selected files comprises image data representative of a plurality of images acquired from an imaging device, wherein the workstation is coupled to the imaging device.

# METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR MANAGING MEMORY IN A WORKSTATION

## ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A workstation including a memory management scheme is disclosed herein. The memory management scheme permits a large number of files to be open on the workstation. The memory management scheme includes a prioritization scheme such that the least relevant files are unloaded from the workstation memory when space is needed to download newly opened files. The memory management scheme further includes saving settings of files unloaded from the workstation memory so that they can be reloaded when needed, with a user perceiving the unloaded files as being continually open.





FIG. 1



**FIG. 2**